# Comments on the DEMS Exposure Assessment

Jonathan Borak, MD, FACOEM Yale University

# DEMS: Background

## Diesel Exhaust in Miners Study

- NIOSH and NCI
- 8 US underground mines, 1947-1997
- Three principal components
  - quantitative estimate of historical DE exposure
  - two epidemiological studies
    - retrospective cohort mortality study
    - nested case-control study of lung cancer

# DEMS: Background

## Diesel Exhaust in Miners Study

- NIOSH and NCI
- 8 US underground mines, 1947-1997
- Three principal components
  - quantitative estimate of historical DE exposure
    published as four reports
    - Ann Occup Hyg 54:728-788 (2010)
  - two epidemiological studies

# DEMS: The Challenge

- "... no standard for assessing the totality of DE exposure ..."  $_{[\text{DEMS I}]}$
- DE is a variable mixture
  - diesel <u>particulate</u> matter (DPM)
    - graphitic carbon core
    - adsorbed organic compounds

# DEMS: The Challenge

#### "... no standard for assessing the totality of DE exposure ..." [DEMS I]

#### • DE is a variable mixture

- diesel <u>particulate</u> matter (DPM)
- gaseous emissions
  - NOx, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, aliphatic hydrocarbons

# DEMS: The Challenge

### Respirable Elemental Carbon (REC)

- REC: "primary surrogate" for DE
  - method was developed in mid-1990's
  - no historical REC data

# DEMS: The Challenge

## Respirable Elemental Carbon (REC)

- REC: "primary surrogate" for DE
  - method was developed in mid-1990'sno historical REC data
- Need to estimate historical REC levels

# DEMS: The Challenge

## Respirable Elemental Carbon (REC)

- REC: "primary surrogate" for DE
- Need to estimate historical REC levels
- Estimation was complicated
  - data deficiencies for other exposure metrics
  - changing diesel technology
  - changing mine production and methods
  - incomplete records

















2. Estimate 1976-1994 REC levels using historical CO levels

# The Reconstruction

- 1. Determine REC-CO correlation (1998-01)
- 2. Estimate 1976-1994 REC levels using historical CO levels
- Estimate 1947-1976 CO levels based on

   a) diesel fleet HP
   b) hours of equipment use
  - c) mine ventilation rates

# The Reconstruction

- 1. Determine REC-CO correlation (1998-01)
- 2. Estimate 1976-1994 REC levels using historical CO levels
- Estimate 1947-1976 CO levels based on

   a) diesel fleet HP
   b) hours of equipment use
  - c) mine ventilation rates
- 4. Estimate 1947-1976 REC levels using estimated CO levels

## **Reconstruction Concerns**

- · Concerns about CO data
- · Concerns about the REC-CO correlation
- · Concerns about use of "Fleet HP"

# Concerns about CO data

## Numbers of CO measurements in the **Historical Reconstruction**

Survey	Personal Samples	Area Samples
DEMS (1998-2001)	0	208
Feasibility (1994)	0	25
MIDAS (1976-2001)	46	9,746
MESA (1976-77)	0	1,099
"Other"	0	46
Total	46	11,124

# Concerns about CO data

· Vast majority were obtained using colorimetric tubes



# Concerns about CO data

CO Colorimetric Tubes are Imprecise

- Precision Certification
  - 25-125 ppm .....  $\pm$  25%

  - 12.5-25 ppm ..... ± 3370
    Not certified < 5 ppm (ANSI/ISEA)</li>
  - "At best indicator tubes may be regarded as only range finding and approximate in nature"

Stern and Mansdorf, 1999

# Concerns about CO data

CO Colorimetric Tubes are Imprecise

In 1976, WHO recommend colorimetric tubes "only for estimating the concentration of CO at concentrations > 5 mg/m<sup>3"</sup> [4.35 ppm]

WHO, 1976

CO Levels	(ppm)	in the	7	Mines
	\PP'''			

Mine	Geometric Mean	Geometric SD
Mine A	4.5	3.7
Mine B	3.5	1.7
Mine D	1.9	2.3
Mine E	3.1	1.6
Mine G	0.4	2.6
Mine H	0.8	4.6
Mine I	2.5	3.0

## CO Levels in MIDAS and MESA

- CO area levels taken in the face area and used for underground prediction models
  - 1975-79: "typically" from 1-2 ppm
  - 1980s: "typically" from 1-3 ppm
  - 1990s: "typically" <1 ppm

Concerns about the REC-CO correlation

# The CO-REC correlation

Correlation was "Moderate"

• Pearson correlation coefficient for 168 DEMS production face samples:  $r_p = 0.41$ 

	(log transformed)				
Mine	Correlation Coefficient	# of Sample Pairs			
Seven mines	<u>0.41</u>	<u>168</u>			
Mine A	0.49	26			
Mine B	0.77	23			
Mine D	0.62	19			
Mine E	0.74	26			
Mine G	0.44	23			
Mine H	0.40	25			
Mine I	0.05	29			

# The CO-REC correlationCorrelation was "Moderate"Pearson correlation coefficient for 168DEMS production face samples: $r_{\rho} = 0.41$ "Weakest" correlation of the gaseous DEcomponents measured- NO: $r_{\rho} = 0.72$ - CO<sub>2</sub>: $r_{\rho} = 0.66$ - NO<sub>2</sub>: $r_{\rho} = 0.52$



# **Correlation in Diesel Emissions**

- 18 transit buses
- · Controlled test conditions
  - chassis dynamometer
  - standardized test cycles
  - engines operating properly
- No exhaust after-treatment devices
- PM vs. CO: r<sup>2</sup> = 0.43

Hesterberg, 2008





# Concerns about "Fleet HP"

• Data limitations

"diesel-powered equipment ... inventories generally were available for a few years in the 1970s and the 1990s but rarely in the 1980s." [DEMS IV]

# Concerns about "Fleet HP"

Emissions vary under different work conditions

# Concerns about "Fleet HP"

- HP is a weak predictor of DE
  - Emissions depend on speed and load

# Concerns about "Fleet HP"

- HP is a weak predictor of DE
  - Emissions depend on speed and load
  - High fuel consumption/work is associated with ↑PM output and ↓CO output

# Concerns about "Fleet HP"

- HP is a weak predictor of DE
  - Emissions depend on speed and load
  - High fuel consumption/work is associated with ↑PM output and ↓CO output
  - Low fuel consumption/work is associated with ↓ PM output and ↑ CO output

Concerns about "Fleet HP"

Emissions vary across engines

(even for the same models)



# Concerns about "Fleet HP"

## Yankowitz 2000

"Further evidence supporting the historical extrapolation approach" [DEMS III]

- chassis dynamometer data
- 20 different studies
- >250 heavy-duty diesel vehicles
  - model years: 1974 to 1997
- Mileage: <1000 to 750,000+
- different work conditions

