Cognitive and Organizational Ergonomics in the Transition of the New Integrated Center of Control of an Oil Refinery: Human Reliability and Administration of Changes

Sandro Artur Buso; Lucy Mara Baú; Magda Puquirre; Carolina Marcon Passero; Érika Lye Ogasawara; Marcos Cesar Bianchi



### **Petrobras Around the World**





Jan La

all Cas

Case in. Mitches

Hydrodesulfurization

March 18-22, 2912

in an Chie

### **Cognitive Ergonomics (Human Reliability)**

- The cognitive ergonomics is concerned with mental processes, such as:
  - perception, memory, reasoning and motor response, how it affect interactions among people and other elements of a system (IEA, 2000).
  - relevant topics include the study of mental workload, decision making, skilled performance, human computer interaction, stress and training as they relate to projects involving humans and systems.



# **Cognitive Ergonomics (Human Reliability)**

- Dougherty and Fragola (1998), mention the rate risk due to human activity in some industries:
  - Nuclear Industry: between 50% and 70%
  - Petroleum Industry: 70%;
  - Aviation Industry: 50%.

MTBE

ICOH



# **Organizational Ergonomics**

 Concerns the optimization of socio technical systems, including its organizational, policies and processes structures. Relevant topics include communications, resources management, work project, temporal organization of work, teamwork, participatory project, new work paradigms, cooperative work, organizational culture, networking organizations, telework, and quality management.



## **Organizational Ergonomics**

- The organization of the work involves at least six interdependent aspects, namely:
  - Division of tasks in time (temporal structure, schedules, production rhythm) and in space (physical arrangement);
  - Systems of communication, cooperation and interconnection between activities, actions and operations;
  - Ways of establishing routines and procedures of production;



# **Organizational Ergonomics**

- The formulation and negotiation of requirements and standards of performance, including the systems of supervision and control;
- The mechanisms of recruitment and selection of people for the job;
- Training and capacity methods and job training.



# MATERIALS AND METHODS

 The field of the study comprised a deterministic sample of operators of the production, transfer and storage area, totaling 111 operators, who moved from their physical and operational premises to the new Head Office of the Integrated Center of Control, divided into 5 groups. The survey was carried out between August 2009 and January 2011

1500	
ICOH	
	34th International Cangruis an Occupational Health
1	Caterine, 1011016 10-10-10, 2012

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

- · The stages of the study comprised two phases:
  - Before: contact with the areas for data collection; application of a census with the operators' profile; AC – Concentrated Attention – test; ITRA – Work and Disease Risks Inventory; structured psychological interview; films on the desktop activities.
  - Afterwards: feedback to the management, supervisors and operators; implementation of actions resulting from the finding and confirmed as required correction demands; new application of the ITRA.



### **Concentrated Attention (CA)**

CA	TOTAL	%
Much Upper	11	10%
Upper	15	13,5%
Upper Average	35	31,5%
Average	41	37%
Lower Average	7	6,2%
Lower	2	1,8%
Total	111	100%



M<sup>4</sup> International Campris an Occupational Hoddle Campro, Witches March (R.-22, 2012)

#### ITRA - 1st Scale - Physical, psychological and social problems

BEFORE							AFTER		
Average	1st Factor – Physical Damage			Average	1st	Factor - Phy	sical Damage	2	
Critical	Disease Presence	Severe	Critical	Bearable	Critical	Disease Presence	Severe	Critical	Bearable
2,14	3	9	7	21	2,09	4	4	11	20
	7,32	21,95	17,07	51,22		9,76	9,76	26,83	48,78
Average	2nd Factor – Psychological Damage			Average	2nd Fa	actor – Psych	ological Dam	age	
Bearable	Occupational Disease Presence	Severe	Critical	Bearable	Bearable	Occupational Disease Presence	Severe	Critical	Bearable
1,36	3	2	3	32	1,35	4	2	4	29
	7,32	4,88	7,32	78,05		9,76	4,88	9,76	70,73
Average	3rd	Factor - So	cial Damag	e	Average	3n	d Factor - So	cial Damage	
Bearable	Occupational Disease Presence	Severe	Critical	Bearable	Bearable	Occupational Disease Presence	Severe	Critical	Bearable
1,80	3	7	4	26	1,60	4	2	6	28
	7,32	17,07	9,76	63,41		9,76	4,88	14,63	68,29

#### ITRA - 2<sup>nd</sup> Scale - Your evaluation about your job context

		BEFORE				AFTER	
Average	1st Factor – D	ivision of the Conte	ent of the Tasks	Average	1st Factor – Di	vision of the Conte	ent of the Tasks
Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory	Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory
3,54	16	25	0	3,49	12	29	0
	39,0	61,0	0,0		29,3	70,7	0,0
Average	2nd Factor	- Physical Environ	ment Quality	Average	2nd Factor -	- Physical Environ	ment Quality
Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory	Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory
3,06	6	32	3	2,83	3	32	6
	14,6	78,0	7,3		7,3	78,0	14,6
Average	3rd Factor	- Socio/Profession	al Relations	Average	3rd Factor	- Socio/Profession	al Relations
Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory	Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory
3,07	4	35	2	2,85	3	29	9
	9,8	85,4	4,9		7,3	70,7	22,0
ICO	H) -		Jat <sup>in</sup> Se	deroation.	d Caugeria a	n Oversportion	nal Monikle

# ITRA – 3<sup>rd</sup> Scale – Your evaluation about the requirements resulting from your job context

		BEFORE				AFTER	
Average	1:	st Factor – Physica	I Cost	Average	1st	Factor - Physical O	Cost
Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory	Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory
3,47	16	25	0	3,20	14	22	5
	39,02	60,98	0		34,1	53,7	12,2
Average	20	d Factor - Cognitiv	re Cost	Average	2nd	Factor – Cognitive	Cost
Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory	Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory
4,01	33	8	0	3,80	28	12	1
	80,49	19,51	0		68,3	29,3	2,4
Average	31	d Factor - Affective	e Cost	Average	3rd	Factor - Affective	Cost
Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory	Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory
2,70	2	28	11	2,69	2	28	11
	4,88	68,29	26,83		4,9	68,3	26,8

38<sup>th</sup> International Cangrue an Occupational Bodds Cancin, Witchs March (8 – 23, 2012

#### ITRA - 4th Scale - Positive and negative experiences

		BEFORE				AFTER	
Average	1st Factor – Professional Realization			Average	1st Fact	or - Profession:	al Realization
Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Severe	Critical	Satisfactor
3,27	6	22	13	2,95	5	14	22
	14,63	53,66	31,71		12,20	34,15	53,66
Average	2nd F	actor - Freedom of S	peech	Average	2nd F	actor - Freedom	of Speech
Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Severe	Critical	Satisfactor
3,76	1	23	17	2,49	1	13	27
	2,44	56,10	41,46		2,44	31,71	65,85
Average	3rd Factor – Experience of Suffering			Average	3rd Fac	tor - Experience	of Suffering
Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory	Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactor
2,83	4	28	9	2,60	6	20	15
	9,76	68,29	21,95		14,63	48,78	36,59
Average	4th Fi	actor – Lack of Recog	nition	Average	4th Fa	ctor - Lack of F	Recognition
Critical	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Severe	Critical	Satisfactory
2.27	3	19	19	2,01	4	15	22
2,31			10.01		9.76	26.50	53.66

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

ICOH

- The study's target population was the labor force operating the desktops of the production (production, control, supervision and distribution of energy) and transfer and storage areas, totaling 111 operators, who participated in the first phase.
- The second phase comprised 41 operators of the production area; due to internal problems, the transfer and storage areas could not participate, and the utilities area had not yet made the physical transition by the end of the study.



### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

 The operators' age varied between 25 and 36 years (31.53%) and 36 to 45 years (36.94%), their average working period for the company being above ten years (51.32%).



## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

 In both ITRA phases – before and afterwards – little change was observed in the average, but the people migrating from "serious" to critical" or to "satisfactory" was relevant, as shown in slides.



### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

· In the structured interview, demands were registered that had to be addressed in the transition. Such demands originated several managerial actions which were carried out by specific sectors for each one of them.



### Actions arising from the interviews

SUGGESTIONS	ACTIONS
Lack of adequate training in the information transition	Meeting with the development (responsible for training) and production sectors to clarify more about the stages of transition to the operators
Concern on foreign interference in the new CIC	Clarifications to the operators on the blocking procedures of entry of outsiders
Open environment for all teams	Exposure of the plant and stages of accommodation of the groups per working cells and adaptation of the communication
Concern about the noise at the headquarters and field operators	Request to the phonoaudiology for the review of the radio models and forms of communication, with consequent acquisition of a new model, and proposed training for a better form of communication between the operators
Unconformities of the furniture, layout of screens/panels	Adjustments of distribution of cabinets and materials; change in the positioning of the screens of the consoles by frequency of use.
Unconformity in the area access and excessive noise	Correction of access, equipment enclosure and change of the type of noise-canceling, reducing the decibel
Unconformities of the locker room and pantry	Readjustment of the distribution of cabinets, benches and changes in the temperature sensor at the pantry
Increased monitoring and participation of the inspector and manager during the transition	Meetings with managers and supervisors for the findings feedback, with suggestions of a better way of information during the shift scales transition.



104 64 shid Campreis an Orising Case ins. Mitches March 18-12, 2012

### CONCLUSION

During this work, the importance was evidenced of the insertion of cognitive and organizational ergonomics in the building project of new facilities for high-complexity activities of a petrochemical company, through the direct involvement of the company's operators in the project and transition process, to value their experience, the indisputable basis for the change and adaptation process, which is focused on the reliability of the processes and products.

1 and the second	
ІСОН	
	34 <sup>10</sup> International Cangruis an Occupational Boable
2 5	Cancere, 1010016

#### **REFERENCES:**

- IEA, 2000, available at http://www.iea.cc/01\_what/What%20is%20Ergonomics.html, accessed on: 08. ago.2011. HENDRICK, H.W. e KLEINER, B, Macroergonomia, Rio de Janeiro/RJ, Editora EVC,
- 2006
- 2006
  DOUGHERTY, EM; FRAGOLA, JR, Human Reliability Analysis, 1998.
  LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT MINISTRY, Order nr 3.214/78, of the Occupational Safety and Health Secretariat, NR 17 Ergonomics.
  ALCHIER, J.C. E CRUZ, R.M. Availação psicológica: conceito, métodos e instrumentos. São Paulo: Casa do Ppsicólogo, page 76, 2003.
- instrumentos. São Paulo: Casa do Posicólogo, page 76, 2003. MENDES, Ana Magnóia: FERREIRA, M. C. . Inventário sobre trabalho e riscos de adoecimento Itra: Instrumento auxiliar de diagnóstico de indicadores críticos no trabalho. In: Ana Magnóila Mendes. (Org.). Psicodinámica do trabalho: teoria, método, pesquisas. 1 ed. São Paulo: Casa do Psicólogo, 2007, v. , pages 111-126. MENDES, Ana Magnóila Bezerra ; FERREIRA, M. C. , Validação do Inventário sobre Trabalho e Riscos de Adoecimento (ITRA). In: Congreso Regional de la Sociedade Interamericana de Psicología, 2006, Havana Cuba. Anaís do Congreso Regional de la Sociedade Interamericana de Psicología, 2006, v. 0. page 1-8.



ICOH

aid Campreise and Orio Case ins, Mitches March 18-12, 2912

### **Continuity and Future Actions**

- Monitoring of ongoing ergonomic implementations;
- Insert of the experience of operators in the projects and ٠ processes for the optimization of new units;
- · Continuity in the process of ergonomic training of the workforce to implement the ergonomics culture in everyday activities of the refinery.



# Thank you!

Sandro Artur Buso Health Coordinator

buso@petrobras.com.br

ARAUCÁRIA – PARANÁ - BRAZIL

