



Factors influencing the occupational situation of people with terminally-ill relatives in Germany – Results of the EPACS-Study

Escobar Pinzon L. C.¹, Claus M.¹, Zepf K. I.¹, Letzel S. and Weber M.²

¹ Institute of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz escobar@uni-mainz.de

Background

• Knowledge about the occupational situation of persons with terminally-ill relatives may be very useful for the planning of public health strategies to facilitate the balancing act between informal care and work.

There are no current data in Germany about the occupational situation of people with terminally-ill relatives.

The analysis presented here is part of the so-called EPACS study (Research Accompanying the Establishment of Hospice and Palliative Care Services in Rhineland-Palatinate, Germany). The aim of this analysis was to identify factors which influence the occupational situation of people with terminally-ill relatives in Germany. Table 1: Influencing factors on the occupational situation of people with terminally-ill relatives in Germany

	Multivariable (n=711)		
	aOR*	p-value	95%-CI**
Variable			
Age (Respondent)	0.92	<.001	0.90-0.94
Sex (Respondent)			
Male	2.00	<.001	1.37-2.90
Female (RefCat.)			
Education (Respondent)			
Good	2.12	<.001	1.41-3.18
Average	1.59	0.030	1.05-2.43
Bad (RefCat.)			
Living in one common household			
Yes	0.53	<.001	0.38-0.75
No (RefCat.)			
Nursing Level			
Level I	0.67	0.125	0.40-1.12
Level II	0.55	0.007	0.35-0.85
Level III	0.43	0.001	0.26-0.71
None (RefCat.)			
 * aOR: adjusted Odds Ratio ** 95%-CI: 95%-Confidence Interval Relationship between respondent and dece death, and being disabled were included in stepwise procedure" 			

Methods

 Cross-sectional study, carried out between September 2008 and January 2009 by the University Medical Center of Mainz.

A random sample of 5,000 addresses of deceased inhabitants was drawn from all local registry offices in Rhineland-Palatinate.

A written survey addressed relatives of deceased people with principal residence in Rhineland-Palatinate.

 Bereaved were asked if their employer was informed about the dying relative and if their employer showed consideration with their situation. Possible answers were: "yes", "no", "did not concern me", or " I did not want that/Not necessary".

In a multiple logistic regression analysis, we estimated influencing factors on working (=1) versus not-working (=0) in the last four weeks prior to the relatives' death. • The probability to work full-/half-time in the last four weeks prior to the relatives' death was significantly higher when people were male (aOR:2.00;95%-CI:1.37-2.90), and highly (aOR:2.12;95%-CI:1.41-3.18) or averagely (aOR:1.59;95%-CI:1.05-2.43) educated.

• On the contrary, the probability was significantly lower with increasing age (aOR:0.92;95%-CI: 0.90-0.94), when living together with the dying person in one common household (aOR: 0.53;95%-CI:0.38-0.75), and when having a level of care II (aOR:0.55;95%-CI:0.35-0.85), or level of care III (aOR:0.43;95%-CI:0.26-0.71).

Results

Conclusions

Participation rate of 36.0% (n = 1,378).

•Of the 898 respondents who were younger than 65 years, 36.9% worked full-time, 26.0% part-time, and 36.2% did not work at all (1.0% Missing).

 Flexible work schedules and other workplace prevention measures to relieve the relatives are urgently needed.

 Available ambulant palliative care teams should be increased to further reduce the burden of care born by the relatives, and to facilitate the performing of a professional activity.

Institute of Occupational, Social and Environmental Medicine

